

Nonlinear Optimization Arising in Celestial Mechanics

Robert J. Vanderbei—The LOQO Guy

October 19, 2003
INFORMS, Atlanta

Operations Research and Financial Engineering, Princeton University

<http://www.princeton.edu/~rvdb>



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 1 of 23](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Optimization

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && b \leq h(x) \leq b + r, \\ & && l \leq x \leq u \end{aligned}$$

- *Linear Programming (LP)*: f and h are linear.
 - *Convex Optimization*: f is convex, each h_i is concave, and $r = \infty$.
 - *Nonlinear Optimization*: f and each h_i is assumed to be twice differentiable
-
- Generally, we seek a *local solution* in the vicinity of a given starting point.
 - If problem is convex (which includes LP), any local solution is automatically a *global solution*.



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 2 of 23](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Least Action Principle



Given: n bodies.

Let:

m_j denote the mass and

$z_j(t)$ denote the position in $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ of body j at time t .

Action Functional:

$$A = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_j \frac{m_j}{2} \|\dot{z}_j\|^2 + \sum_{j,k:k < j} \frac{m_j m_k}{\|z_j - z_k\|} \right) dt.$$

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 3 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Equation of Motion

First Variation:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta A &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_j m_j \dot{z}_j^{\alpha} \delta z_j^{\alpha} - \sum_{j,k:k<j} m_j m_k \frac{(z_j^{\alpha} - z_k^{\alpha})(\delta z_j^{\alpha} - \delta z_k^{\alpha})}{\|z_j - z_k\|^3} \right) dt \\ &= - \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_j \sum_{\alpha} \left(m_j \ddot{z}_j^{\alpha} + \sum_{k:k \neq j} m_j m_k \frac{z_j^{\alpha} - z_k^{\alpha}}{\|z_j - z_k\|^3} \right) \delta z_j^{\alpha} dt\end{aligned}$$

Setting first variation to zero, we get:

$$m_j \ddot{z}_j^{\alpha} = - \sum_{k:k \neq j} m_j m_k \frac{z_j^{\alpha} - z_k^{\alpha}}{\|z_j - z_k\|^3}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \alpha = 1, 2$$

Note: If $m_j = 0$ for some j , then the first order optimality condition reduces to $0 = 0$, which is *not* the equation of motion for a massless body.



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 4 of 23](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Periodic Solutions

We assume solutions can be expressed in the form

$$z_j(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k e^{ikt}, \quad \gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Writing with components $z_j(t) = (x_j(t), y_j(t))$ and $\gamma_k = (\alpha_k, \beta_k)$, we get

$$x(t) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^c \cos(kt) + a_k^s \sin(kt))$$
$$y(t) = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k^c \cos(kt) + b_k^s \sin(kt))$$

where

$$a_0 = \alpha_0, \quad a_k^c = \alpha_k + \alpha_{-k}, \quad a_k^s = \beta_{-k} - \beta_k,$$
$$b_0 = \beta_0, \quad b_k^c = \beta_k + \beta_{-k}, \quad b_k^s = \alpha_k - \alpha_{-k}.$$

The variables a_0 , a_k^c , a_k^s , b_0 , b_k^c , and b_k^s are the decision variables in the optimization model.



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 5 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

The AMPL Model



```
param N := 3; # number of masses
param n := 15; # number of terms in Fourier series representation
param m := 100; # number of terms in numerical approx to integral

param theta {j in 0..m-1} := j*2*pi/m;

param a0 {i in 0..N-1} default 0;      param b0 {i in 0..N-1} default 0;
var as {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} := 0;   var bs {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} := 0;
var ac {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} := 0;   var bc {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} := 0;

var x {i in 0..N-1, j in 0..m-1}
  = a0[i]+sum {k in 1..n} ( as[i,k]*sin(k*theta[j]) + ac[i,k]*cos(k*theta[j]) );
var y {i in 0..N-1, j in 0..m-1}
  = b0[i]+sum {k in 1..n} ( bs[i,k]*sin(k*theta[j]) + bc[i,k]*cos(k*theta[j]) );

var xdot {i in 0..N-1, j in 0..m-1}
  = if (j<m-1) then (x[i,j+1]-x[i,j])*m/(2*pi) else (x[i,0]-x[i,m-1])*m/(2*pi);
var ydot {i in 0..N-1, j in 0..m-1}
  = if (j<m-1) then (y[i,j+1]-y[i,j])*m/(2*pi) else (y[i,0]-y[i,m-1])*m/(2*pi);

var K {j in 0..m-1} = 0.5*sum {i in 0..N-1} (xdot[i,j]^2 + ydot[i,j]^2);

var P {j in 0..m-1}
  = - sum {i in 0..N-1, ii in 0..N-1: ii>i}
    1/sqrt((x[i,j]-x[ii,j])^2 + (y[i,j]-y[ii,j])^2);

minimize A: (2*pi/m)*sum {j in 0..m-1} (K[j] - P[j]);
```

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 6 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

Continued...

```
let {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} as[i,k] := 1*(Uniform01()-0.5);  
let {i in 0..N-1, k in 1..n} ac[i,k] := 1*(Uniform01()-0.5);  
let {i in 0..N-1, k in n..n} bs[i,k] := 0.01*(Uniform01()-0.5);  
let {i in 0..N-1, k in n..n} bc[i,k] := 0.01*(Uniform01()-0.5);  
  
solve;
```



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 7 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Choreographies and the Ducati



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 8 of 23


[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

The previous AMPL model was used to find many *choreographies* (a la **Moore** and Montgomery/Chencinier) in the equimass n -body problem and the stable *Ducati* solution to the 3-body problem.



Limitations of the Model

- The infinite sum gets truncated to a finite sum. This amounts to adding constraints. Hence, the solution might be suboptimal. That is, the trajectory obtained might not satisfy the equations of motion.
- Masses must be positive.
- Model can't solve 2-body problem w/ eccentricity (see next slide).



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 9 of 23](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Elliptic Solutions

An ellipse with semimajor axis a , semiminor axis b , and having its left focus at the origin of the coordinate system is given parametrically by:

$$x(t) = f + a \cos t, \quad y(t) = b \sin t,$$

where $f = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$ is the distance from the focus to the center of the ellipse.

However, this is *not* the trajectory of a mass in the 2-body problem. Such a mass will travel faster around one focus than around the other. We need to introduce a time-change function $\theta(t)$:

$$x(t) = f + a \cos \theta(t), \quad y(t) = b \sin \theta(t).$$

This function θ must be increasing and must satisfy $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(2\pi) = 2\pi$.


The optimization model can be used to find (a discretization of) $\theta(t)$ automatically by changing `param theta` to `var theta` and adding appropriate monotonicity and boundary constraints.

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 10 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

A Hill-Type Solution...

...to the Eccentric Sun-Earth System

Using an eccentricity $e = f/a = 0.0167$ and appropriate Sun and Earth masses, we can find a periodic Hill-Type satellite trajectory in which the satellite orbits the Earth once per year.



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 11 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Second Variation



$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2 A &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_j \sum_\alpha \left(\dot{\delta z}_j^\alpha \right)^2 dt \\ &+ 3 \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j,k:j \neq k} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{(z_j^\alpha - z_k^\alpha)(z_j^\beta - z_k^\beta)(\delta z_j^\beta - \delta z_k^\beta)}{\|z_j - z_k\|^5} \delta z_j^\alpha dt \\ &- \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j,k:j \neq k} \sum_\alpha \frac{\delta z_j^\alpha - \delta z_k^\alpha}{\|z_j - z_k\|^3} \delta z_j^\alpha dt\end{aligned}$$

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 12 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

Sensitivity Analysis



Let

$$\xi^*(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x^*(t) \\ v^*(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

be a solution to

$$\dot{\xi} = A(\xi)$$

where

$$A \left(\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ a(x(t)) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$a(x) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_n(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$a_j(x) = - \sum_{k:k \neq j} \frac{x_j - x_k}{\|x_j - x_k\|^2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 13 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Sensitivity Analysis Continued



Consider a nearby solution $\xi(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}(t) &= A(\xi(t)) \\ &\approx A(\xi^*(t)) + A'(\xi^*(t))(\xi(t) - \xi^*(t)) \\ &= \dot{\xi}^*(t) + A'(\xi^*(t))(\xi(t) - \xi^*(t)).\end{aligned}$$

Put $\Delta\xi = \xi - \xi^*$. Then $\dot{\Delta\xi} = A'(\xi^*(t))\Delta\xi$. A finite difference approximation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\xi(t+h) &= \Delta\xi(t) + hA'(\xi^*(t))\Delta\xi(t) \\ &= (I + hA'(\xi^*(t)))\Delta\xi(t).\end{aligned}$$

Iterating around one period, we get:

$$\Delta\xi(T) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (I + hA'(\xi^*(t_i))) \right) \Delta\xi(0),$$

where $h = T/n$ and $t_i = iT/n$.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 14 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Perturbations associated with invariants of the physical law are unimportant in calculating $\Delta\xi(T)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_1 \\ e_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_2 \\ e_2 \\ e_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e_1 \\ e_1 \\ e_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e_2 \\ e_2 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} Rx_1 \\ Rx_2 \\ Rx_3 \\ Rv_1 \\ Rv_2 \\ Rv_3 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -3v_1 + 2x_1 \\ -3v_1 + 2x_1 \\ -3v_1 + 2x_1 \\ -3a_1 - v_1 \\ -3a_2 - v_2 \\ -3a_3 - v_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

These directions correspond to *translation*(2), *moving frame*(2), *rotation*, and *dilation*.

Dilation is explained on the next page.

All quantities are evaluated at $t = 0$.

Vector e_i denotes the i -th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^2 .

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 15 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Dilation

Consider spatial dilation by ρ together with a temporal dilation by θ :

$$y_j(t) = \rho x_j(t/\theta).$$

Given that the x_j 's are a solution, it is easy to check that

$$\ddot{y}_j(t) = -\frac{\rho^3}{\theta^2} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{y_j(t) - y_k(t)}{\|y_j(t) - y_k(t)\|^2}.$$

Hence, if mass is to remain fixed, we must have that $\rho^3 = \theta^2$:

$$y_j(t) = \rho x_j(t/\rho^{3/2}) \quad \dot{y}_j(t) = \rho^{-1/2} v_j(t/\rho^{3/2}).$$

To find the perturbation direction corresponding to this dilation, we differentiate with respect to ρ at $\rho = 1$:

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho x_j(t/\rho^{3/2}) \\ \rho^{-1/2} v_j(t/\rho^{3/2}) \end{bmatrix} \right|_{\rho=1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} v_j + x_j \\ -\frac{3}{2} a_j - \frac{1}{2} v_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 16 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)



Put

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & 0 & 0 & Rx_1 & (-3v_1 + 2x_1)/2 \\ e_1 & e_2 & 0 & 0 & Rx_2 & (-3v_2 + 2x_2)/2 \\ e_1 & e_2 & 0 & 0 & Rx_3 & (-3v_3 + 2x_3)/2 \\ 0 & 0 & e_1 & e_2 & Rv_1 & (-3a_1 - v_1)/2 \\ 0 & 0 & e_1 & e_2 & Rv_2 & (-3a_2 - v_2)/2 \\ 0 & 0 & e_1 & e_2 & Rv_3 & (-3a_3 - v_3)/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For checking stability, we project any initial perturbation onto the null space of P^T using

$$\Pi = I - P(P^T P)^{-1} P^T.$$

From the fact that $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ and $v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0$, it follows that all columns of P are mutually orthogonal *except* for the 5-th and 6-th columns. Hence, $P^T P$ is not a purely diagonal matrix.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 17 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 18 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

We now focus on:

$$\Delta\xi(T) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (I + hA'(\xi^*(t_i))) \right) (I - P(P^T P)^{-1} P^T) \Delta\xi(0),$$

Stability: all eigenvalues of

$$\Lambda\Pi = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (I + hA'(\xi^*(t_i))) \right) (I - P(P^T P)^{-1} P^T)$$

must be at most one in magnitude.

Numerical Results

Euler Stable Orbits

Name	$\max(\lambda_i(\Lambda))$	$\max(\lambda_i(\Lambda\Pi))$
Lagrange2	1.383	1.362
FigureEight3	1.228	4.220
Ducati3	1.105	3.885
Hill15	1.444	2.403
DoubleDouble5	12.298	12.298
DoubleDouble10	1.404	5.948
DoubleDouble20	1.890	1.890



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 19 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Euler Unstable Orbits

Name	$\max(\lambda_i(\Lambda))$	$\max(\lambda_i(\Lambda\Pi))$
Lagrange3	81.630	81.630
OrthQuasiEllipse4	18.343	18.343
Rosette4	1.873	4.449
Braid4	727.508	711.811
Trefoil4	41228.515	41213.852
FigureEight4	221.642	194.095
FoldedTriLoop4	74758.355	74675.092
PlateSaucer4	3653.210	3653.210
BorderCollie4	188.235	188.052
Trefoil5	1.913e+8	1.917e+8
FigureEight5	2223.137	2223.457



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 20 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Midpoint Integrator (using a Spring)



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 21 of 23

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

$$\ddot{x} = -x$$

Given: $x(0), v(0)$

Compute:

$$a(0) = -x(0)$$

$$v(h/2) = v(0) + (h/2)a(0)$$

For $t = h, 2h, \dots$

$$a(t) = -x(t)$$

$$v(t + h/2) = v(t - h/2) + ha(t)$$

$$x(t + h) = x(t) + hv(t + h/2)$$

t	x	v	a
0.0	1.000	0.000	-1.000
0.1	0.995	-0.050	-0.995
0.2	0.980	-0.150	-0.980
0.3	0.955	-0.248	-0.955
0.4	0.921	-0.343	-0.921
0.5	0.877	-0.435	-0.877

The Midpoint Integrator

```
if (integrator == MIDPOINT) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    p[j].x += p[j].vx * dt;
    p[j].y += p[j].vy * dt;
  }
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    p[j].ax = 0; p[j].ay = 0;
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      if (i != j) {
        double r3 = dist3(p[i], p[j]);
        if (r3<r03) r3=r03;
        p[j].ax -= G * p[i].m * (p[j].x - p[i].x)/r3;
        p[j].ay -= G * p[i].m * (p[j].y - p[i].y)/r3;
      }
    }
  }
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    p[j].vx += p[j].ax * dt;
    p[j].vy += p[j].ay * dt;
  }
}
```

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 22 of 23](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

Contents

1	Optimization	2
2	Least Action Principle	3
3	Equation of Motion	4
4	Periodic Solutions	5
5	The AMPL Model	6
6	Choreographies and the Ducati	8
7	Limitations of the Model	9
8	Elliptic Solutions	10
9	A Hill-Type Solution...	11
10	Second Variation	12
11	Sensitivity Analysis	13
12	Numerical Results	19
13	Midpoint Integrator (using a Spring)	21



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 23 of 23

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit